Blinking Islands for Black Holes in Cavities

Timofei Rusalev, Steklov Mathematical Institute

based on arXiv:2311.16244, PRD' 25 with D. Ageev, I. Aref'eva

Session-conference of the Nuclear Physics Section of the Physical Sciences Department of the Russian Academy of Sciences, dedicated to the 70th anniversary of V.A. Rubakov

February 18, 2025

Main idea and result

- The information paradox for black holes is one of the fundamental problems in the union of gravity and quantum theory, starting with seminal paper [Hawking '76] after the discovery of Hawking radiation [Hawking '74]
- Is the island approach [Almheiri et al. '19, '20, Penington '20] the solution to information paradox?
- Placing a black hole in a cavity (box) is known to be a natural way to study IR scales in gravity, the thermodynamic instability etc. [Hawking '76, Page '80, York '86, ...]
- We consider the dynamics of the entanglement entropy within island prescription for Hawking radiation in the generalization of the twosided Schwarzschild black hole [Hashimoto-lizuka-Matsuo '20] by introducing reflective boundaries (BCFT₂ techniques)
- We found a universal effect induced by the boundary presence, which we call *"blinking"* island the disappearance of the island for a finite time interval, that for large cavity leads to non-unitary evolution

The information paradox – a (possible) violation of the unitary evolution of closed systems containing black holes, taking into account quantum effects, where unitary

$$ho_{ ext{tot}}(t_f) = U
ho_{ ext{tot}}(t_0) U^{\dagger}, \qquad U U^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger} U = 1$$

<u>Important</u>: unitary evolution implies *pure state* \rightarrow *pure state* if $\rho_{tot}(t_0) = \rho_{tot}^2(t_0)$, then $\rho_{tot}(t_f) = \rho_{tot}^2(t_f)$ for all $t_f > t_0$

We consider a system "black hole + Hawking radiation", which

• at
$$t_0$$
: $ho_{tot}(t_0) =
ho_{tot}^2(t_0)$ (pure state)

• if at $t_f > t_0$: $\rho_{tot}(t_f) \neq \rho_{tot}^2(t_f)$ (mixed state) then there is an information paradox (non-unitary evolution)

Introduction: Entanglement Entropy

• Total system $A \cup B$ is described by ρ_{tot} and divided into A and B

$$\mathcal{H}_{tot} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B, \ \rho_A = \mathop{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_B} \rho_{tot}, \ \rho_B = \mathop{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A} \rho_{tot}$$

• The entanglement entropies of the subsystems are defined as

$$S(X) \equiv S_{\nu N}(\rho_X) = -\operatorname{Tr} \rho_X \log \rho_X$$
, where $X = A, B$

Basic properties

•
$$\rho_{tot} = \rho_{tot}^2$$
 (pure state) $\Leftrightarrow S_{vN}(\rho_{tot}) = 0 \Rightarrow S(A) = S(B)$
• $|S(A) - S(B)| \neq 0 \Rightarrow S_{vN}(\rho_{tot}) > 0 \Rightarrow \rho_{tot} \neq \rho_{tot}^2$ (mixed state)
• $S_{vN}(\rho_X) \leq S^{\text{therm}}(\rho_X)$ (thermod. entropy is always BIGGEST)

If $S(A) > S^{\text{therm}}(\rho_B) \Rightarrow \rho_{tot} \neq \rho_{tot}^2 \text{ (mixed state)}$

Total system "Hawking radiation (R) + black hole (BH)" $\mathcal{H}_{tot} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{R}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{RH}}$ Entanglement entropies S(R), S(BH), thermodynamic entropy $S_{BH}^{\text{therm}} = rac{\text{Area(horizon)}}{4G}$ $r = r_b$ • $r = r_h$ is horizon • $r > r_h$: "Radiation" $r = r_h$ system • $r = r_h > r_h$ is cutoff after which • $r < r_h$: "Black Hole" Black Hole "gravity is weak" system

Introduction: information paradox via EE

Recall that we assume $\rho_{tot}(t_0) = \rho_{tot}^2(t_0)$. If $\exists t_f > t_0$: $S_{\text{Rad}}(t_f) > S_{BH}^{therm}(t_f) \Rightarrow \rho_{tot}(t_f) \neq \rho_{tot}^2(t_f) \text{ (mixed state)}$



- "Hawking curve": monotonic increase in entropy, exceeding the upper limit at $t > t_{page} \rightarrow$ unitarity violation
- "Page curve": at t_{page} the growth is replaced by a monotonic decrease to zero entanglement entropy, pure state at $t_{evap} \rightarrow$ unitary behavior

Introduction: island formula

The "island formula" for entanglement entropy of quantum field theory in gravitational systems for $R \subset \Sigma$, where $\mathcal{H}_{tot} = \mathcal{H}_R \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\bar{R}}$, $\bar{R} = \Sigma/R$

$$S(R) \simeq \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ \exp_{\mathcal{I}} \left[\frac{Area(\partial \mathcal{I})}{4G} + S_{semi-class}(R \cup \mathcal{I})
ight]
ight\}$$

[Almheiri et al. '19, '20, Penington '20, Penington et al. '22] where

- Σ spacelike Cauchy surface (e.g. constant time surface t = const)
- $\mathcal{I} \subset \overline{R}$ "island", defined by extremization, $\partial \mathcal{I}$ its boundary
- S_{semi-class} entanglement entropy of QFT on the classical background



• The metric of the four-dimensional Schwarzschild black hole (BH) is

$$ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2}, \qquad f(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{h}}{r}$$

 $r_h = 2GM$ is the horizon, M is BH mass, G is gravitational constant

• Introducing Kruskal coordinates

$$U = -rac{1}{\kappa_h} e^{-\kappa_h(t-r_*(r))}, \quad V = rac{1}{\kappa_h} e^{\kappa_h(t+r_*(r))}$$

with the tortoise coordinate $r_*(r) = r + r_h \log |r - r_h|/r_h$ and the surface gravity $\kappa_h = 1/2r_h$, we can rewrite the metric in the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\rho(r)}dUdV + r^2d\Omega^2, \qquad e^{2\rho(r)} = \frac{r_h}{r}e^{-r/r_h}$$

 Eternal (two-sided) black hole: the maximal analytic extension of spactime to −∞ < U, V < ∞ with constraint UV < 4r_h² Kruskal diagram of Lorentzian Schwarzschild spacetime is



9 / 27

Eternal Schwarzschild black hole

- The mass of a black hole is constant, M = const, thermodynamic equilibrium with radiation
- Thermofield double state (TFD)

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |n\rangle_L |n\rangle_R,$$

where $|n\rangle_{L,R}$ are the eigenstates with energy E_n of the matter theory Hamiltonian $H_{L,R}$ in the left/right wedges

Time evolution is upward in both left and right wedges

$$H_{tot} = H_L + H_R.$$

TFD under H_{tot} is time-dependent!

s-wave approximation (Hashimoto et al. '20)

- The massless field $\Phi(x^{\mu})$ on a spherically symmetric background of d = 4 spacetime (for instance, Schwarzschild) is decomposed into spherical harmonics: $\Phi(r, t, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l,m} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) f_l(r, t)$
- There is a set of effective 2D theories with masses $m^2 \simeq l(l+1)$
- The lowest harmonic with *I* = 0 (s-mode) corresponds to the effective *massless* 2D theory of matter and it is the largest part of the Hawking radiation away from the horizon
- Discarding *l* > 0 modes, we assume that s-mode corresponds to conformal theory (CFT) and entanglement entropy of this theory approximates the entropy of the original 4D problem

s-wave approximation [Hashimoto-lizuka-Matsuo '20]

We consider CFT_2 on a two-dimensional part of Schwarzschild spacetime

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\rho(r)}dUdV, \qquad e^{2\rho(r)} = \frac{r_h}{r}e^{-r/r_h}$$

Schwarzschild without boundary (Hashimoto et al. '20)



$$S(R) \simeq \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ \exp_{\mathcal{I}} \left[\frac{Area(\partial \mathcal{I})}{4G} + S_{matter}(R \cup \mathcal{I}) \right] \right\} = \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ \exp_{\mathcal{I}} S_{gen}(r_a, t_a) \right\}$$

- Entanglement region (orange) is located in both exteriors $R = R_{-} \cup R_{+} = (i_{L}^{0}, b_{-}] \cup [b_{+}, i_{R}^{0}), \quad b_{+} = \{r_{b}, t_{b}\}, \quad b_{-} = \{r_{b}, -t_{b}\}$
- Island configuration (magenta) extends between both exteriors $\mathcal{I} = [a_-, a_+], a_+ = \{r_a, t_a\}, a_- = \{r_a, -t_a\}$
- Evolution is upward in both exteriors with $H_{tot} = H_L + H_R$ for TFD
- Parameters (r_a, t_a) of the island \mathcal{I} are determined by extremization

$$\underset{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\text{ext }} S_{gen} : \begin{cases} \partial_{r_a} S_{gen}(r_a, t_a) = 0\\ \partial_{t_a} S_{gen}(r_a, t_a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Schwarzschild without boundary (Hashimoto et al. '20)



<u>Island rule</u>: at each moment $t = t_b$ we need to choose the *smallest* entropy

<u>Note</u>: $S_{\mathcal{I}}(R) \simeq S_{BH}^{\text{therm}} = 2\pi r_h^2/G$ only in the approximation $cG/r_h^2 \ll 1$ (neglect of backreaction), island provides consistent behaviour with unitarity

Geometry with a spherically symmetric boundaries: double-boundary geometry with a boundary at $r = r_0 > r_h$ in the left and right exteriors



- We assume thermodynamic stable equilibrium at all times
- Loss due to Hawking radiation is compensated by reflection from the walls
- Quantum state generalization of TFD
- Schwarzschild with walls an analogue of AdS - Schwarzschild?

BCFT₂ on upper half-plane

Simplest BCFT₂ geometry – Euclidean flat upper half-plane (UHP)



 $ds^{2} = dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} = dzd\bar{z}, \quad z = x_{1} + ix_{2}, \quad x_{1} \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad x_{2} \ge 0$

Here x_1 is Euclidean time, x_2 is the spatial coordinate, $x_2 = 0$ is boundary

BCFT₂ on upper half-plane

• We consider regions R consisting of union of intervals

$$R = [z_{a_1}, z_{b_1}] \cup \ldots \cup [z_{a_m}, z_{b_m}]$$

• Entanglement entropy in replica trick framework [Callan, Wilczek '94]

$$S(R) = -\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_R \log \rho_R) = -\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n-1} \log (\operatorname{Tr} \rho_R^n)$$

where $\rho_R = \operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{R}} \rho_{\text{tot}}$, and ρ_{tot} is vacuum state on UHP

$$\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{R}^{n} = \langle \phi(z_{a_{1}}, \bar{z}_{a_{1}}) \widetilde{\phi}(z_{b_{1}}, \bar{z}_{b_{1}}) \dots \phi(z_{a_{m}}, \bar{z}_{a_{m}}) \widetilde{\phi}(z_{b_{m}}, \bar{z}_{b_{m}}) \rangle_{\mathsf{UHP}}^{b.c.}$$

The twist operators are primary with $h_n = \bar{h}_n = c/24(n - 1/n)$ for bulk and $h_n = \bar{h}_n = 0$ for boundary insertions [Calabrese, Cardy '04]



BCFT₂ of free Dirac fermions

We consider c copies of two-dimensional free massless Dirac fermions with perfectly reflecting boundary conditions

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x_1, x_2) \\ \psi_2(x_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(z) \\ \psi_2(\bar{z}) \end{pmatrix}; \quad \overbrace{\psi_1(x_1, 0) = \psi_2(x_1, 0)}^{\text{b.c.}}, \quad x_1 \in (-\infty, \infty)$$



The EE of $R = [z_{a_1}, z_{b_1}] \cup \ldots \cup [z_{a_m}, z_{b_m}]$ [Kruthoff et. al '21, Rottoli et. al '23]

$$S(R) = \frac{c}{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \log|z_{a_i} - z_{b_j}| - \frac{c}{3} \sum_{i
$$+ \frac{c}{6} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \log|z_{a_i} - \bar{z}_{a_j}| |z_{b_i} - \bar{z}_{b_j}| - \frac{c}{6} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \log|z_{a_i} - \bar{z}_{b_j}| |z_{b_i} - \bar{z}_{a_j}|$$$$

17 / 27

$BCFT_2$ on a curved spacetime

What if the domain 1) is not UHP and 2) is not flat?

Transform correlators using 1) conformal and 2) Weyl transformations

Recall within replica trick the EE is defined by correlator

$$S(R) = -\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n-1} \log \langle \phi(z_{a_1}, \bar{z}_{a_1}) \widetilde{\phi}(z_{b_1}, \bar{z}_{b_1}) \dots \phi(z_{a_m}, \bar{z}_{a_m}) \widetilde{\phi}(z_{b_m}, \bar{z}_{b_m}) \rangle$$

• Conformal map, $z: \Omega \to \mathsf{UHP}$, z = z(w), $\bar{z} = \bar{z}(\bar{w})$

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi(w_1, \bar{w}_1) \dots \phi(w_m, \bar{w}_m) \rangle_{\Omega} &= \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{dz}{dw} \right)^{h_n} \Big|_{w=w_j} \left(\frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right)^{h_n} \Big|_{\bar{w}=\bar{w}_j} \\ &\times \langle \phi(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \phi(z_m, \bar{z}_m) \rangle_{\text{UHP}}. \end{split}$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Weyl map}}_{\text{Weyl map}} \text{ (flat } \to \text{curved}), \ ds^2 &= dw \ d\bar{w} \to ds^2 = e^{2\rho(w, \bar{w})} dw \ d\bar{w} \\ &= 2b \ (w, \bar{z}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{w}_1, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_1) \dots \phi(\mathbf{w}_m, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_m) \rangle_{e^{2\rho}g} = e^{-2h_n \rho(\mathbf{w}_1, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_1)} \dots e^{-2h_n \rho(\mathbf{w}_n, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_n)} \\ \times \langle \phi(\mathbf{w}_1, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_1) \dots \phi(\mathbf{w}_m, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_m) \rangle_g$$

Note: it is entropy on a fixed curved background (the 2_{nd} term in the island formula)!

Euclidean Schwarzschild spacetime

Euclidean geometry is needed to apply the BCFT₂ EE technique \Rightarrow \Rightarrow let us consider Euclidean Schwarzschild spacetime with boundaries

- Define the (space-) time-like Kruskal coordinates $X, T = (V \mp U)/2$
- Wick rotate Kruskal T = -iT and Schwarzschild $t = -i\tau$ times
- Euclidean Schwarzschild does not contain an interior, i.e. $r \ge r_h$

We introduce a complex coordinates

$$w = X + i\mathcal{T}, \qquad \bar{w} = X - i\mathcal{T},$$

and the Euclidean version of the 2D part of Kruskal metric is

$$ds^{2} = e^{2\rho(w,\bar{w})} dw d\bar{w}, \qquad \overbrace{e^{2\rho(w,\bar{w})}}^{\text{Weyl factor}} = \frac{W(e^{-1}\kappa_{h}^{2}w\bar{w})}{\kappa_{h}^{2}w\bar{w}\left[1 + W(e^{-1}\kappa_{h}^{2}w\bar{w})\right]},$$

where W(x) is Lambert W function

Euclidean double-boundary geometry

• Euclidean double-boundary geometry is the interior of the disc

$$\{X^2 + \mathcal{T}^2 \le L_0^2 \,|\, X, \mathcal{T} \in [-L_0, L_0]\}, \quad L_0 = \frac{e^{\kappa_h r_*(r_0)}}{\kappa_h}$$

• Conformal map from disc to UHP is

$$z = i \frac{L_0 + w}{L_0 - w}$$



Double-boundary entanglement entropy setup



- Entanglement region (purple) is located in both exteriors $R_2 = R_- \cup R_+ = [\mathbf{r}_0^-, b_-] \cup [b_+, \mathbf{r}_0^+], \quad b_+ = \{r_b, t_b\}, \quad b_- = \{r_b, -t_b\}$
- Island configuration (green) extends between both exteriors $I_2 = [a_-, a_+], a_+ = \{r_a, t_a\}, a_- = \{r_a, -t_a\}$
- Evolution is upward in both exteriors with $H_{tot} = H_L + H_R$
- Parameters (r_a, t_a) of the island \mathcal{I} are determined by extremization

$$\underset{\partial I}{\text{ext }} S_{gen} : \begin{cases} \partial_{r_a} S_{gen}(r_a, t_a) = 0\\ \partial_{t_a} S_{gen}(r_a, t_a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

/ 27

Double-boundary: no-island dynamics

 \bullet Entanglement entropy with trivial island $\mathcal{I}=\varnothing$

$$S(R_2) = \frac{c}{6} \log\left(\frac{4f(r_b)\cosh^2 \kappa_h t_b}{\kappa_h^2 \varepsilon^2}\right) + \frac{c}{6} \log\left(\frac{2\sinh^2 \kappa_h(r_*(r_0) - r_*(r_b))}{\cosh 2\kappa_h(r_*(r_0) - r_*(r_b)) + \cosh 2\kappa_h t_b}\right)$$

- Entropy saturates at $t_b \gg t_b^1$, $t_b^1 \equiv r_*(r_0) r_*(r_b)$ at value $S^{\text{sat}}(R_2) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left(\frac{4f(r_b) \sinh^2 \kappa_h(r_*(r_0) - r_*(r_b))}{\kappa_c^2 \varepsilon^2} \right)$
- There is such $\widetilde{r}_0(r_b, c)$ that • $\forall r_0 > \widetilde{r}_0$: $S^{sat}(R_2) > S^{therm}_{BH}$ (non-unitary evolution) • $\forall r_0 \in (r_h, \widetilde{r}_0)$: $S^{sat}(R_2) < S^{therm}_{BH}$ (consistent with unitarity)

$$r_h = 1, r_b = 5, c = 3, G = 0.1$$



Double-boundary: blinking island

The general picture of the island evolution is

- First the island appears and at $t_b^1 = r_*(r_0) r_*(r_b)$ disappears
- At $t_b^2 = r_*(r_0) + 2r_*(r_b) 3/2\kappa_h \log \left(cG\kappa_h^2 e/3\pi\right)$ it appears again
- So, at $t_b \in (t_b^1, t_b^2)$ island solution near horizon does not exist

There is a **"blinking island"** in the approximation $cG\kappa_h^2 \ll 1$ $(G\kappa_h^2 \propto M_{pl}^2/M^2$, so $G\kappa_h^2 \rightarrow 0$ in semiclassical limit)

$$t_{\mathsf{blink}} \equiv t_b^2 - t_b^1 = 3r_*(r_b) - 3/2\kappa_h \log\left(cG\kappa_h^2 e/3\pi\right) > 0$$



Properties of blinking island

• For $r_b/2GM = \text{fixed}$ and c = fixed, with $M \nearrow$ the time $t_{\text{blink}} \nearrow$

$$t_{\rm blink} = 6 GM \left(\frac{r_b}{2 GM} - \log \left[\frac{ce}{48 \pi GM^2 (r_b/2 GM - 1)} \right] \right)$$

Behavior of island's parameters (r_a, t_a) – loss of equilibrium?



 Thermodynamic stability/instability depends on cavity size r₀ [Hawking '76, York '86]. Is there a connection with the "blinking effect"?

Non-unitarity and Finite-size effects

- Islands and non-unitarity for adiabatic evaporation of eternal black holes, [I.Ya. Aref'eva, I.V. Volovich TMP arXiv:2110.04233]
- EE of finite-size region [Ageev, Aref'eva, Belokon, Ermakov, Pushkarev, TR '23 PRD arXiv:2209.00036], for dS [Ageev, Aref'eva, Belokon, Pushkarev, TR JHEP arXiv:2304.12351]



The island disappears \Rightarrow a jump in EE and non-unitary evolution

- We considered the dynamics of the entanglement entropy within island prescription for Hawking radiation in the generalization of the two-sided Schwarzschild black hole by introducing reflective boundaries
- We showed a universal effect induced by the boundary presence, which we call "blinking" island – the disappearance of the island for a finite time interval, that for large cavity leads to non-unitary evolution
- While for small sizes of cavity there is an evolution consistent with unitarity even without considering the island configuration

Thank you for attention!