Поиск тяжёлых нейтрино в ближнем детекторе ND280 эксперимента T2K

Константин Горшанов

Институт ядерных исследований Российской академии наук (ИЯИ РАН)

Сессия-конференция секции ядерной физики ОФН РАН, посвящённая 70-летию В.А. Рубакова





Москва, 21 февраля 2025 г.

Physics motivation

New physics beyond SM:

- $m_{\nu} \neq 0$
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark Matter

vMSM-model [1,2]:

- 3 right-handed neutrinos N_I , $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$
- $\nu \& N_I$ Majorana particles
- $m_{N_1} \sim keV$ could be dark matter
- $m_{N_{2,3}} \sim MeV GeV$ could generate baryogenesis

Heavy

Neutral

Left-handed flavor eigenstates as combination of light (v_i) and heavy (N_I) mass eigenstates:

$$\nu_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} V_{\alpha i}^{PMNS} \nu_{i} + \sum_{I=1}^{n} \Theta_{\alpha I} N_{I} \ (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau; \ i = 1, 2, 3; \ I = 1, 2, 3)$$

• Assuming
$$M_2 \sim M_3 \equiv M_N$$
, $|U_{\alpha}|^2 = \sum_{I=\{2,3\}} |\Theta_{\alpha I}|^2$



February 21, 2025

1. T. Asaka, M. Shaposhnikov. "The nuMSM, dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe". In: Phys. Lett. B620 (2005), pp. 17–26 2. T. Asaka, S. Blanchet, M. Shaposhnikov. "The nuMSM, dark matter and neutrino masses". In: Phys. Lett. B631 (2005), pp. 151–156. Leptons

T2K experiment



- Tokai-to-Kamioka (T2K) [3] long-baseline neutrino experiment in Japan. Main goal – study ν oscillations, search for lepton CP violation.
- Near Detector ND280 positioned 2.5° from neutrino beam ($\overline{E_{\nu}} = 0.6 \ GeV$).
- Accelerator experiment based on 30 GeV proton beam @ J-PARC.
- Neutrino beam from π and K mesons decays.
- π and K mesons focused with magnetic horns for $\nu_{\mu}(\overline{\nu_{\mu}})$ enhanced beam. $\underline{\pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}} l_{\alpha}^{\pm}(\alpha = e, \mu)$
- Probe HNLs with T2K ND280:

ND280

HNL

 l_{β}^{\pm}

 π^{\mp}

Current constraints on mixing elements



February 21, 2025

4. Antel, C., Battaglieri, M., Beacham, J. et al. Feebly-interacting particles: FIPs 2022 Workshop Report. Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 1122 (2023) 5. Argüelles, C.A., Foppiani, N. and Hostert, M., 2022. Physical Review D, 105(9), p.095006.

Motivation for new analysis

Search for HNL *in 2019* [5]:

- $K^{\pm} \rightarrow l_{\alpha}^{\pm} N \ (\alpha = e, \mu)$
- K^+ in ν -mode and K^- in $\overline{\nu}$ -mode

New search for HNL:

- $H^{\pm} \rightarrow l_{\alpha}^{\pm} N \ (H = K, \pi; \alpha = e, \mu)$
- $H^{\pm}(H = K, \pi)$ in ν and $\overline{\nu}$ beam modes
- Updated tracking, signal and background
- Additional statistics available

T2K results obtained in 2019 [6]



90% upper limits on $|U_{\alpha}|$ as function of M_{HNL}

ND280 and HNL typical event



- UA1 magnet dipole magnetic field 0.2 T
- $POD \pi^0$ detector
- ECAL Electromagnetic Calorimeter

TPC Fiducial Volume: no walls, no cathode Margin of 59 mm upstream and 150 mm downstream



Example of simulated HNL decay in ND280

- **TPCs Gaseous-Argon Time Projection Chambers**
- FGDs Fine Grained plastic-scintillator Detectors
- SMRD Side Muon Range Detector,

scintillator plates inside magnet yokes

HNL search in ND280

- *Events in TPC gas* to reduce background from ν interactions
- Study decays: $H^{\pm} \rightarrow l_{\alpha}^{\pm} N \ (H = K, \pi; \ \alpha = e, \mu)$ $N \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}, N \rightarrow e^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}, N \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}\nu, N \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}\nu, N \rightarrow e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}\nu$
- Signal topology: 2 close opposite charged tracks starting in same TPC fiducial volume
- Applying veto, PID and kinematic selection criteria



Systematics

•	Detector	systematics:
---	----------	--------------

v					
HNL decay mode	$N \to e^- \pi^+$	$N o \mu^- \mu^+ u$	$N \to e^- e^+ \nu$	$N ightarrow e^- \mu^+ \nu$	
M_N, MeV	250	350	105	130	
B field distortion	0.27%	0.27%	0.09%	0.09%	
Momentum scale	0.06%	0.03%	0.04%	0.14%	
Momentum resolution	0.45%	0.34%	0.49%	0.28%	
TPC PID	0.92%	0.75%	1.41%	0.9%	
ECal EM resolution	-	0.78%	-	-	
ECal EM scale	-	0.42%	-	-	
Position resolution	0.14%	0.22%	0.94%	0.12%	
Parent decay	0.03%	-	-	0.02%	
Charge identification efficiency	0.11%	0.04%	0.1%	0.03%	
TPC cluster efficiency	0.0005%	0.00057%	0.00034%	0.00079%	
TPC track efficiency	0.38%	0.16%	0.23%	0.35%	
TPC-FGD match efficiency	0.04%	0.02%	0.03%	0.03%	
Pion secondary interactions	2.21%	-	-	-	
TPC-ECAL match efficiency	-	1.26%	-	-	
ECAL PID	-	3.96%	-	-	



All	2.49%	4.34%	1.79%	1.03%

• Flux systematics (*preliminary*):

20% for K^{\pm} and 10% for π^{\pm} [7]

ECAL used only for $N \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu$

ECAL related:

- TPC-ECAL match efficiency
- ECAL PID
- EM Energy resolution and scale



TPC related:

- Magnetic field distortions
- Momentum resolution and scale
- TPC PID
- Charge confusion
- Cluster efficiency
- Track efficiency
- TPC-FGD match efficiency
- Pion Secondary Interactions

Specific for the analysis:

- Position resolution
 - Parent decay

7. K. Abe, et. al. "Improved constraints on neutrino mixing from the T2K experiment with 3.13×10^{21} protons on target". Physical review D 103, 112008 (2021)

Efficiency: new analysis (solid lines) vs 2019 [5] (dashed)



Background study

Background after selection in each sample. Normalized to data POT. Total exposure (POT): $12.02 \times 10^{20} \nu$ -mode $8.61 \times 10^{20} \overline{\nu}$ -mode

		Background category	NEUT ν	NEUT $\overline{\nu}$
-	$\mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	gas coherent	0.152	0.093
		gas $\nu - \overline{\nu}$ other	0.05	0.0
		OOFV γ	0.0	0.0
		OOFV other	0.0	0.0
S		gas coherent	0.0	0.0
e	$e^{-}\pi^{+}$	gas $\nu - \overline{\nu}$ other	0.0	0.0
nn		OOFV γ	0.0	0.0
la		OOFV other	0.0	0.0
C		gas coherent	0.0	0.0
HNL decay	$e^+\pi^-$	gas $\nu - \overline{\nu}$ other	0.0	0.0
		OOFV γ	0.0	0.0
		OOFV other	0.0	0.0
	$\mu^+\mu^-$	gas coherent	0.152	0.093
		gas $\nu - \overline{\nu}$ other	0.104	0.14
		OOFV γ	0.0	0.0
		OOFV other	0.0	0.0
	a+a-	gas coherent	0.0	0.0
		gas $\nu - \overline{\nu}$ other	0.0	0.0
		$ m OOFV~\gamma$	0.054	0.0
		OOFV other	0.0	0.0

Analysis channel	Background (ν -mode)	Background ($\overline{\nu}$ -mode)	
$\mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	0.16 ± 0.08	0.03 ± 0.08	
$e^-\pi^+$	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	
$e^+\pi^-$	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	
$\mu^+\mu^-$	0.21 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.13	
e^+e^-	0.10 ± 0.06	0 ± 0	

• Dominant contribution for $N \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}, \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \nu$ is neutrino-induced **coherent pion production** on argon nuclei in TPC gas: $\nu_{\mu} + Ar \rightarrow \mu^{-} + \pi^{+} + Ar$

- **ν** interactions on gas ("gas other"):
 - resonant π production;
 - quasi-elastic scattering;
- $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and out-of-fiducial volume events for N $\rightarrow e^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ channels
- ν interactions estimation with NEUT Monte-Carlo generator [8]
- Constraints with real data via control samples:
- 1. Inverted polar angle
- 2. Events in TPC dead material

Sensitivity (eff = 1, zero background)



Expected sensitivity: considering only one HNL decay mode



9. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Section A. 320.1-2 (1992), pp. 331–335; 10. Phys. Rev. D57 (1998), pp. 3873–3889; 11. Luc Demortier. "Objective Bayesian Upper Limits for Poisson Process". CDF-MEMO STATISTICS-PUBLIC-5928 (2005)

Conclusion

New search for heavy neutrinos in T2K ND280 *in progress*:

- In 2019 T2K set still competitive limits in mass range $140 < m_N < 493 MeV$
- New analysis based on **updated tracking** and extended to **low masses** $m_N < 140 MeV$

Current status:

For π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} decays to HNLs in ν - and $\bar{\nu}$ -beam modes:

- Selection criteria reviewed
- Signal efficiencies increased
- Systematics estimation
- Background study
- **Expected sensitivity** with single-channel approach

In progress:

Expected sensitivity via
 combining HNL decay
 modes

Supported by the state project "Science" of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation under the contract № 075-15-2024-541. Author is grateful for the contribution given by Yu. Kudenko, A. Izmaylov and T2K collaboration members.

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!



Heavy neutrino decays

HNLs decay through charged or neutral current. Considered decay modes:



- Assuming $M_2 \sim M_3 \equiv M_N$, hence experiment sensitive to $|U_{\alpha}|^2 = \sum_{I=\{2,3\}} |\Theta_{\alpha I}|^2$
- Look for heavy neutrino decay after their production, study kinematics of daughter particles. Sensitive to $U_{\alpha}^{2}U_{\beta}^{2}$

Schematic of production and decay modes included in analysis for HNL with $M_N < 493 MeV/c^2$. Bars show allowed kinematic regions for each decay mode with the corresponding mixing element(s).

Example:

meson decays $H^{\pm} \rightarrow l_{\alpha}^{\pm} N_{I}$, $BR \sim |\Theta_{\alpha I}|^{2}$ HNL decays: $N_{I} \rightarrow l_{\beta}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$, $BR \sim |\Theta_{\beta I}|^{2}$

Experiment is sensitive to $U_{\alpha}^{2}U_{\beta}^{2}$, where $|U_{\alpha}|^{2} = \sum_{I=\{2,3\}} |\Theta_{\alpha I}|^{2}$

Selection criteria examples



- Starting positions < 80 mm in XY plane
- Reconstructed vertex in TPC Fiducial Volume

Monte Carlo simulation:

- ➢ Background − <u>colored</u> histogram
- ➢ Signal − <u>black</u> dots



Number of signal events in TPCs (10²¹ POT normalization)



Current Limits



(H)

T2K low mass limits

Argüelles, C.A., Foppiani, N. and Hostert, M., 2022. Physical Review D, 105(9), p.095006.



FIG. 2. Constraints on the mixing of HNLs with the muon flavor as a function of its mass for a minimal HNL model at 90% C.L., considering only the production and decay mode: $K \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} N \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} (e^+ e^- \bar{\nu_{\mu}})$. For MicroBooNE, T2K, and PS191 the regions above the lines are excluded, while BBN excludes the region below the line. In gray we show other model-independent constraints. T2K full refers to the projected sensitivity of T2K with the final dataset, which will be collected by the end of the experiment. The T2K collaboration searched for the DIF of HNLs in the three Gaseous Argon Time Projection Chambers (GArTPC) of the off-axis near detector ND280 [52]. Because of the low density of the argon gas, this search has very small backgrounds from neutrino interactions, while the gas allows excellent tracking and identification of the e^+e^- final state. The analysis observes no event in all channels, and provides some of the strongest limits in the mass region $140 \leq m_N \leq 493$ MeV. We use their null results and extrapolate the experimental efficiencies to estimate the constraint on light HNLs with $20 \leq m_N \leq 140$ MeV. We neglect systematic uncertainties and backgrounds, as they provide negligible contributions to the limits. We reproduce the official T2K result above the pion mass with reasonable accuracy.

Selection criteria examples



Simulation strategy

Start from standard ν flux, apply event-by-event weighting, kinematics modification:

1. $m_{\nu} \neq m_N$, hence change kinematics of parent meson decay

2. BR($K \rightarrow l_{\alpha} \nu_{\alpha}$) changed to BR($K \rightarrow l_{\alpha} N$) assuming $U_{\alpha} = 1$

- *Events in TPC gas fiducial volume* to reduce background from v interactions
- HNL decays simulated randomly along trajectories in TPCs

Fiducial Volume in TPCs:

	TPC 1	TPC 2	TPC 3
Х	[-870	;-20] or $[20;$	870]
Υ		[-930; 1030]	
Ζ	[-725; -162]	[634; 1197]	[1993; 2556]

Unused Ar | [-870, -20], [20, 870] | [-930, 1030] | [-784, -725] [575, 634] [1934, 1993]



Constraints on $|U_{\tau}|$

 $N \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu$ (NC, CC) and $N \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_{e,\tau}$ (NC) modes:



Feynman diagrams for HNL decay $N \rightarrow \mu\mu\nu$ via CC (left) an NC (right)

With NC any type of active neutrino can be produced $(v_e, v_\mu, v_\tau) \rightarrow$ sensitive to $|U_\tau|$, e.g. $K \rightarrow eN, N \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- v_{e,\tau}$ sensitive to $(U_e)^2 (U_e^2 + U_\tau^2)$

Heavy neutrino flux



HNL flux at ND280 front plane for $K^+ \to \mu^+ N$ and $\pi^+ \to e^+ N$ modes for different HNL masses assuming $|U_e| = |U_{\mu}| = 1$



Efficiency vs selection criteria applied one-by-one



2019 results



90% upper limits on mixing elements as a function of HNL mass. "Combined" and "single-channel" approaches.

<u>Blue dashed lines</u> – single-channel approach
 (one single HNL production and decay mode considered at a time)
 <u>Blue solid lines</u> – after marginalization over other mixing elements.

Top left plot: <u>blue dotted line</u> – profiling used ($U_{\mu}^2 = U_{\tau}^2 = 0$). Limits compared to PS191, E949, CHARM. Figures taken from [*].

Expected sensitivity

 U_{α} limits can be set with two approaches:

1. "Single-channel": each HNL production & decay mode independently

For example, $\mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ channel can constrain: - U_{μ}^2 considering only $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}N$, $N \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$

- or $U_e \times U_\mu$ considering only $K^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}N, N \to \mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$
- 2. "Combined": all HNL production & decay modes simultaneously
- limits on U_{α} ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$) without assumptions about U_{α} hierarchy

Example:

- Using $N \to \mu\mu\nu$ mode, we can put a limit on $U_e\sqrt{U_e^2 + U_\tau^2}$ with assumption $U_\mu \ll U_e$, where contribution comes only from $K \to eN, N \to \mu^+\mu^-\nu_{e,\tau}$
- With "combined" approach we can put limits on each individual U_{α} ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$) without assumptions about U_{α} hierarchy

Expected sensitivity

"Combined" approach:

For channel *A* the contribution of mode *i* is characterized by:

- expected number of decays Φ_i assuming $U_e^2 = U_{\mu}^2 = U_{\tau}^2 = 1$
- selection efficiency of decays in current channel, $\varepsilon_{A,i}$
- actual values of $U_{e,\mu,\tau}^2$ via the factor $f_i = U_{\alpha}^2 \sum U_{\beta_i}^2$

 $\alpha, \beta_j \in \{e, \mu, \tau\}, \alpha - \text{flavor in HNL production}, \beta_j - \text{flavors in HNL decay}$

Expected number of events N_A in channel A (with background B_A):

$$N_A = B_A + \sum_i \varepsilon_{A,i} \times f_i(U_e^2, U_\mu^2, U_\tau^2) \times \Phi_i$$

Bayesian approach. Likelihood for observed number of events n_A^{obs}

$$L = \prod_{A} Poisson (n_A^{obs}, N_A)$$

PyMC Markov Chain method used for integration. 90% domains are defined by profiling/marginalizing over other mixing elements.

Systematics

Flux systematics:

20% for K^{\pm} and 10% for π^{\pm} [*] preliminary estimation!



FIG. 2. The fractional systematic uncertainty on the ν_{μ} flux at SK in FHC mode (top), on the right-sign $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ flux at SK in RHC mode (middle), and on the wrong-sign ν_{μ} flux at SK in RHC mode (bottom). The solid black line shows the current total fractional uncertainty (NA61/SHINE 2009 data), while the dashed black line in the top panel shows the fractional uncertainty from an earlier flux prediction (NA61/SHINE 2007 data).



Selection criteria

- Required 2 close opposite charge tracks in TPC with extrapolated vertex in TPC Fiducial Volume
- Veto cuts: no activity in detector upstream to TPC where decay occurred (e.g. FGD1 for TPC2)
- No additional good quality tracks in the TPC
- Analysis branches: $\mu^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$, $e^{-}\pi^{+}$, $e^{+}\pi^{-}$, $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$, $e^{+}e^{-}$
- PID cuts: use TPC dE/dx to build corresponding PID likelihoods (e.g. $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{\pi}, \mathcal{L}_{e}$)
- For $N \to \mu\mu\nu$ use ECAL PID
- Kinematic cuts:
 - total HNL momentum
 - angle between HNL daughter tracks
 - invariant mass
 - polar angle (between HNL direction and Z-axis)
 - 4-momentum transfer $|t| \equiv (P_{\nu} P_{\mu} P_{\pi})^2$

HC/FC/Bayesian

$$|U_i|_{limit}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{U_n}{N_{events}}}$$

• Feldman-Cousins:

 $U_n - 90\%$ C. L. Poisson limit for *n* observed events N_{events} – expected number of signal events assuming $|U|^2 = 1$

• Highland-Cousins:

$$U_{n} = U_{n0} \left\{ 1 + \frac{E_{n} \sigma_{Acc}^{2}}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{E_{n} \sigma_{Acc}}{2} \right)^{2} \right) \right\}$$

$$U_{n0} - 90\% C.L. \text{Poisson limit for } n \text{ obser}$$

 $U_{n0} - 90\% C.L.$ Poisson limit for *n* observed events; σ_{Acc} – detector accepttance error $E_n = U_{n0} - n$

• Bayesian

•
$$p(s|n) \propto \int_0^\infty db \int_0^\infty d\eta \mathcal{L}(s,\eta,b|n) \pi_S(\eta) \pi_B(b)$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}(s,\eta,b|n) = \frac{(s\eta+b)^n}{n!}e^{-s\eta-b}$$

• $\int_{s_{low}}^{s_{up}} p(s|n) ds = 1 - \alpha$