



# Измерение анизотропных потоков лямбда-гиперонов в экспериментах MPD и BM@N

Трошин В.В., Мамаев М.В., Парфёнов П.Е., Тараненко А.В.  
ОИЯИ, НИЯУ МИФИ

Сессия-конференция секции ядерной физики ОФН РАН  
17-21 февраля 2025

Работа поддержана Министерством науки и высшего образования РФ, проект  
"Фундаментальные и прикладные исследования на экспериментальном комплексе  
класса мегасайенс NICA (ОИЯИ)" № FSWU-2025-0014



# Anisotropic transverse flow



Spatial asymmetry of energy distribution at the initial state is transformed, through the strong interaction, into momentum anisotropy of the produced particles.

$$E \frac{d^3 N}{d^3 p} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 N}{p_T dp_T dy} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})) \right)$$




$$v_n = \langle \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})) \rangle$$

In the experiment reaction plane angle  $\Psi_{RP}$  can be approximated by participant  $\Psi_{PP}$  or spectator  $\Psi_{SP}$  symmetry planes.



# Anisotropic transverse flow in heavy-ion collisions at Nuclotron-NICA energies



Strong energy dependence of  $dv_1/dy$  and  $v_2$  at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4-11$  GeV.

Anisotropic flow at FAIR/NICA energies is a delicate balance between:


- The ability of pressure developed early in the reaction zone
- Long passage time (strong shadowing by spectators).

Differential flow measurements  $v_n(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \text{centrality}, \text{pid}, p_T, y)$  will help to study:

- effects of collective (radial) expansion on anisotropic flow
- interaction between collision spectators and produced matter
- baryon number transport

Several experiments (MPD, BM@N, STAR FXT, CBM, HADES, NA61/SHINE) aim to study properties of the strongly-interacted matter in this energy region.

# Aims to study flow of $\Lambda$



- $\Lambda$  potential is important to explanation of existence of two-solar-mass neutron stars
- Constrained by directed flow of  $\Lambda$
- Models cannot fully describe anisotropic flow for NICA energy range
- Best agreement with model includes interactions with hyperons

Yasushi Nara et al. *Phys.Rev.C* 106 (2022) 4, 044902

# MPD experiment at NICA

## Main subsystems at Stage-I:

**TPC** ( $|\eta| \leq 1.6$ ): charged particle tracking + momentum reconstruction + dE/dx identification



**TOF** ( $|\eta| \leq 1.4$ ): charged particle identification

**ECAL** ( $2.9 < |\eta| < 1.4$ ): energy and PID for  $\gamma/e^\pm$

**FHCAL** ( $2 < |\eta| < 5$ ) and **FFD** ( $2.9 < |\eta| < 3.3$ ): event triggering + event geometry

## **Expected beams at the first year(s) of operation (Stage-I):**


- MPD-CLD: Xe/Bi+Xe/Bi at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 7$  GeV
- MPD-FXT: Xe/Bi +W at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 3$  GeV





# $\Lambda$ hyperon reconstruction and anisotropic flow measurements

1. Centrality and track selection
2. Build  $\Lambda$  - from  $p$  and  $\pi^-$
3. Selection of  $\Lambda$  candidates
4. Fitting the  $m_{inv}$  distributions
5. Obtain  $R_n$
6. Fitting  $v_n$  as a function of  $m_{inv}$




$$v_n^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T) = v_n^S(p_T) \frac{N^S(m_{inv}, p_T)}{N^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T)} + v_n^B(m_{inv}, p_T) \frac{N^B(m_{inv}, p_T)}{N^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T)}$$



- PV — primary vertex
- $V_0$  — vertex of hyperon decay
- dca — distance of closest approach
- path — decay length






# $v_1$ and $v_2$ of $\Lambda$ hyperons for Bi+Bi at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=9.2$ GeV with PHSD



Full scale reconstruction shows reasonable agreement with simulated data

# The BM@N experiment: recent Xe+Cs(I) 3.8 AGeV run



Symmetry plane estimation with the azimuthal asymmetry of projectile spector energy



# Flow vectors

From momentum of each measured particle define a  $u_n$ -vector in transverse plane:



$$u_n = e^{in\phi}$$

where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle

Sum over a group of  $u_n$ -vectors in one event forms  $Q_n$ -vector:

$$Q_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N w_n^k u_n^k}{\sum_{k=1}^N w_n^k} = |Q_n| e^{in\Psi_n^{EP}}$$

$\Psi_n^{EP}$  is the event plane angle



T-: all negatively charged particles with:

- $1.5 < \eta < 4$
- $p_T > 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}$

T+: all positively charged particles with:

- $2.0 < \eta < 3$
- $p_T > 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}$

# Flow methods for $v_n$ calculation

Tested in HADES: M Mamaev et al 2020 PPNuclei 53, 277–281  
 M Mamaev et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1690 012122

Scalar product (SP) method:


$$v_1 = \frac{\langle u_1 Q_1^{F1} \rangle}{R_1^{F1}} \quad v_2 = \frac{\langle u_2 Q_1^{F1} Q_1^{F3} \rangle}{R_1^{F1} R_1^{F3}}$$

Where  $R_1$  is the resolution correction factor

$$R_1^{F1} = \langle \cos(\Psi_1^{F1} - \Psi_1^{RP}) \rangle$$



Symbol “F2(F1,F3)” means  $R_1$  calculated via  
 (3S resolution):

$$R_1^{F2(F1,F3)} = \frac{\sqrt{\langle Q_1^{F2} Q_1^{F1} \rangle \langle Q_1^{F2} Q_1^{F3} \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle Q_1^{F1} Q_1^{F3} \rangle}}$$



Corrections for non-uniform acceptance - see slide №15

# Performance study with JAM fully reconstructed data




For performance study 15 M events of fully reconstructed data from JAM model are used


Very limited  $p_T$ -rapidity coverage

An agreement with signal from model

# Fitting the $m_{inv}$ distributions in $p_T$ -y bins



Directed flow of  $\Lambda$  hyperons in Xe+Cs(I) collisions at 3.8 AGeV



$$v_1^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T) = v_1^S(p_T) \frac{N^S(m_{inv}, p_T)}{N^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T)} + v_1^B(m_{inv}, p_T) \frac{N^B(m_{inv}, p_T)}{N^{SB}(m_{inv}, p_T)}$$

# Summary

- Performance study for flow measurements of  $\Lambda$  hyperons for Bi+Bi at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=9.2$  GeV with PHSD at MPD and Xe+Cs(I) at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3.26$  GeV with JAM at BM@N are provided
  - Invariant mass fit method for reconstructed data show an agreement with simulated data
- Application of invariant mass fit method for directed flow measurements at recent BM@N Xe+Cs(I) experimental run is shown
  - Further analysis is under work


# Outlook

- Obtain rapidity and transverse momentum dependence of  $v_1$  for experimental data.
- Comparison results with existing data from other experiments
- Further efficiency study and analysis of systematic effects

BACKUP

# Corrections on acceptance


$\phi$  yield of  $\Lambda$  candidates




Non-uniform acceptance - corrections are required

Corrections are based on method in:  
I. Selyuzhenkov and S. Voloshin PRC77,  
034904 (2008)


1. Recentering



2. Twist




3. Rescaling



# $v_{1,2}(y)$ in Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3$ GeV: model vs. STAR data

A. Sorensen et. al., Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 134 (2024) 104080



Model description of  $v_n$ :

- Good overall agreement for  $v_n$  of protons
- $v_n$  of light nuclei is not described
- $v_n$  of  $\Lambda$  is not well described
  - nucleon-hyperon and hyperon-hyperon interactions
- Light mesons ( $\pi, K$ ) are not described
  - No mean-field for mesons

**Models have a huge room for improvement in terms of describing  $v_n$**